Hernandez v.	Gittere, et al

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6			
7	FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ,	Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-WGC	
8	Petitioner,		
9 10	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 228)	
10	WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,		
12	Respondents.		
13			
14	In this capital habeas corpus action, the second	he petitioner, Fernando Navarro	
15	Hernandez, represented by appointed counsel, was due on February 24, 2020, to file a		

response to Respondents' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 224), which was filed on
December 24, 2019.

On February 21, 2020, Hernandez filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No.
228), requesting an extension of time to April 8, 2020 (a 44-day extension).
Hernandez's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his
obligations in other cases and his need to meet with his client before preparing the
response to the motion to dismiss. This would be the first extension of this deadline.
Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Hernandez's motion for extension of time is made in good
faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
extension of time requested.

- 27 || ///
- 28 📗 ///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 228) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **April 8, 2020**, to file a response to the motion to dismiss.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) will remain in effect.

DATED this 27th day of February, 2020.

nha. LARR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE