Hernandez,	v. Gittere, et al	
	Case 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD	Document 294 Filed 02/01/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6		
7	FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDE	Z, Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD
8	Petitioner,	
9	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
10		(ECF NO. 293)
11	WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,	
	Respondents.	
12		
13		

In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed their answer on
July 14, 2021 (ECF No. 285). Then, after an initial 45-day period, an 88-day extension
of time, and a 60-day extension of time, the petitioner, Fernando Navarro Hernandez,
represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a reply by January 25, 2022. See
Order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) (45 days for reply); Order entered
August 31, 2021 (ECF No. 289) (88-day extension); Order entered December 2, 2021
(ECF No. 291) (60-day extension).

On January 25, 2022, Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 293), requesting a further 60-day extension of time, to March 26, 2022. Petitioner's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. The respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time.

21

22

23

24

Doc. 294

1

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 293) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **March 26, 2022**, to file his reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) will remain in effect.

DATED THIS 1st day of February, 2022.

ha HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE