

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
6

7 FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM REUBART, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.  
12

Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD

ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
(ECF NO. 298)

13 In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed their answer on  
14 July 14, 2021 (ECF No. 285). Then, after an initial 45-day period, an 88-day extension  
15 of time, two 60-day extensions of time, and a 30-day extension of time, the petitioner,  
16 Fernando Navarro Hernandez, represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a  
17 reply by April 27, 2022. See Order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) (45 days for  
18 reply); Order entered August 31, 2021 (ECF No. 289) (88-day extension); Order entered  
19 December 2, 2021 (ECF No. 291) (60-day extension); Order entered February 1, 2022  
20 (ECF No. 294) (60-day extension); Order entered April 8, 2022 (30-day extension).

21 On April 27, 2022, Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 298),  
22 requesting a further 7-day extension of time, to May 4, 2022. Petitioner's counsel states  
23 that the extension of time is necessary because of her obligations in other cases.  
24 Petitioner's counsel also states: "I anticipate this will be the final request for extension of  
25 time." Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 298), p. 4, lines 6–7. Respondents do not  
26 oppose the motion for extension of time.  
27  
28

1 The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and  
2 not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of  
3 time requested.

4 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time  
5 (ECF No. 298) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **May 4, 2022**, to file  
6 his reply.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further  
8 proceedings set forth in the order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) will remain  
9 in effect.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
11 25(d), William Reubart is substituted for William Gittere as the respondent warden. The  
12 Clerk of the Court is directed to update the docket to reflect this change.

13  
14 DATED THIS 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May, 2022.

15  
16   
17 LARRY R. HICKS  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28