

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD

ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 315)

13 In this capital habeas corpus action, the Court has ordered the parties to file
14 supplemental briefing—an amended answer by Respondents, followed by an amended
15 reply by Petitioner Fernando Navarro Hernandez, followed by a response to the
16 amended reply by Respondents—in the wake of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Shinn*
17 *v. Ramirez*, 142 S. Ct. 1718 (2022). See Order entered June 28, 2022 (ECF No. 307).
18 Following a 60-day initial period (see Order entered June 28, 2022 (ECF No. 307)), a
19 91-day extension of time (ECF No. 310), a 60-day extension of time (ECF No. 312), and
20 a 45-day extension of time (ECF No. 314), Respondents’ amended answer was due on
21 March 13, 2023.

22 On March 13, 2023, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
23 No. 315), requesting a further 4-day extension of time, to March 17, 2023, for their
24 amended answer. Respondents’ counsel states that Hernandez, who is represented by
25 appointed counsel, does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

26 The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and
27 not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of
28 time requested.

