

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6

7 FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ,

8 Petitioner,

9 v.

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD

ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 318)

13 In this capital habeas corpus action, the Court has ordered the parties to file
14 supplemental briefing—an amended answer by Respondents, followed by an amended
15 reply by Petitioner Fernando Navarro Hernandez, followed by a response to the amended
16 reply by Respondents—in the wake of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Shinn v. Ramirez*,
17 142 S. Ct. 1718 (2022). See Order entered June 28, 2022 (ECF No. 307). Respondents
18 filed their amended answer on March 17, 2023 (ECF No. 317). Hernandez, who is
19 represented by appointed counsel, was then due to file his amended reply by May 1,
20 2023. See Order entered June 28, 2022 (ECF No. 307).

21 On May 1, 2023, Hernandez filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 318),
22 requesting a 91-day extension of time, to July 31, 2023, for his amended reply.
23 Hernandez’s counsel states that this extension of time is necessary because of her
24 obligations in other cases. Hernandez’s counsel states that Respondents do not oppose
25 the motion for extension of time.

26 The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not
27 solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time
28 requested.

