| Hernandez | v. Gittere, et al | |
|-----------|---|---|
| | Case 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD Do | ocument 327 Filed 09/14/23 Page 1 of 2 |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| - | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ | , Case No. 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-CSD |
| 8 | Petitioner, | |
| 9 | V. | ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 326) |
| 10 | WILLIAM GITTERE, et al., | |
| 11 | Respondents. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | In this capital habeas corpus action, the Court ordered the parties to file | |

supplemental briefing—an amended answer by Respondents, an amended reply by 14 15 Petitioner Fernando Navarro Hernandez, and a response to the amended reply by Respondents—in the wake of the Supreme Court's decision in Shinn v. Ramirez, 142 S. 16 17 Ct. 1718 (2022). See Order entered June 28, 2022 (ECF No. 307). Respondents filed 18 their amended answer on March 17, 2023 (ECF No. 317). Hernandez filed his amended 19 reply on August 14, 2023 (ECF No. 322). On August 14, 2023, Hernandez also filed a 20 motion for leave to conduct discovery (ECF No. 324), and a motion for evidentiary hearing 21 (ECF No. 325). Respondents were then due to respond to the amended reply, the motion 22 for leave to conduct discovery, and the motion for evidentiary hearing, by September 13, 23 2023. See Order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No 94) (30 days for response to reply; 24 responses to motions to be filed with response to reply).

On September 13, 2023, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
No. 326), requesting a 44-day extension of time, to October 27, 2023, for their responses
to Hernandez's amended reply, motion for leave to conduct discovery, and motion for
evidentiary hearing. Respondents' counsel states that this extension of time is necessary

Doc. 327

1

because of her obligations in other cases and her administrative responsibilities at the
Office of the Nevada Attorney General. Respondents' counsel states that Hernandez,
who is represented by appointed counsel, does not oppose the motion for extension of
time.

The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 326) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **October 27**, **2023**, to file their responses to Petitioner's amended reply, motion for leave to conduct discovery, and motion for evidentiary hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 20, 2015 (ECF No. 94) will remain in effect.

DATED this 14th day of September 2023.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE