4	2,01-60-029
Montenegra nakia Knox Name	- RCJ-RAM
P.O. BOX 607 NSP	- Know v 8 mith at at
Cakson city, nv 8970	FILED RECEIVED ENTERED SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
#rtale11	
Prison Number	SEP 2 3 2009 NO
UNIT	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVAD CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVAD CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVAD
.1 .	3:09-CV-00559
Monteneous Wakia Knox	,
Plaintiff).
vs.) CASE NO. 07-0379 (50383)
T	(To be symmlicated to the control of
JAMES BACA ASSO WARDON)
MATT TILLEY) CIVIL DICITES COLUMN
7 5 000-11	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO
ZAPPATINI	42 U.S.C. § 1983
40 shorey)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
40 Frecher)
Defendant(s	·).
Doc. 13 Att. 1	A. JURISDICTION
1)	·
1) This complaint alleges that	t the civil rights of Plaintiff, Montaneauen, Knob, (print Plaintiff's name)
who presently resides at	P.O. ROX 607 NSP
•	(mailing address or place of confinement)
Violated by the actions of	f the below named individuals which were directed against
Plaintiff at Nevada	Talo 00
(institutio	on/city where violation occurred) on the following dates
	2 40 46
(Count I)	(Count II) (Count III)
, Count 1)	(Count III)

\$1983-Form eff. 1/97

Make a copy of this page to provide the below information if you are naming more that five (5) defendants

2) Defendant TANIA ARGUELLO resides at P.O. BOX 607 NSP,
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as DISCIPLINARY LT. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: AZINTIH RECOVED 18 MONTHS LOK A Hight AND
was the pred with delibered a indefference & collows indefference
3) Defendant PATRICK MCNAMARA resides at P.O. BOX 607 NSP,
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as SAT CORRECTIONAL OFFICER This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: NOT bally assaulting Plaintiff and illogal senker
of Plaintiffs Person, but lemale employees,
4) Defendant TABITHA CREON resides at P.O. Box 609 NSP,
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as CORRECTIONAL OFFICER. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: Delivery of plaintiff mail to other inmotor for
diversity issues of citizenship.
5) Defendant C/O DAVIS resides at RO, BOX GOTNSP,
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant) and is employed as CORRECTIONAL OFFICER. This defendant is sued in his/her
and is employed as <u>CORRECTIONAL OFFICER</u> . This defendant is sued in his/her (defendant's position and title, if any)
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: Megal search ing of Plaintiffs cell and ver-
ball Accounting Plaintiff by calling him racial terms

Make a copy of this page to provide the below information if you are naming more that five (5) defendants

2) Defendant Tames BACA resides at P.O. Box 607 NSP,
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as ASSOCIATE WARDEN PROGRAM. This defendant is sued in his/her (defendant's position and title, if any)
individual dofficial capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: PEREPHEND AHACKS verbally about the alone whore
im from also reposing to keep tension down for inmatos
3) Defendant MATTUEY resides at P.O. BOX 607 NSP, (address of first defendant)
and is employed as LAW LIBRARY SUPERVISOR. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any) individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: Refusing to submitted Request LORMS, ACCAST to
LOGAL LIBRARY PRODUCTING PLAINTIFF TO RECEIVE ANY SUPPLIES
4) Defendant ZAPPATINI resides at POBOX 607 NSP (full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as HEAD CASE WORKER. This defendant is sued in his/her (defendant's position and title, if any)
individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: Danial of any recursed made by inmate soe to divors-
they of officen ship. Request to help in any thing i asked for
5) Defendant C/O SHOREU. resides at P.O. Box 607 NSP,
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as CORRECTIONAL OFFICER. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any) individual official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: deliberate racial statements and deragator com-
monts, howard immate and other out of towners" Racial discrimination

6) Defendant C/O FRECHER	resides at $PO,BO \times 6007 NSP$,
(full name of first defendant)	(address of first defendant)
	FICER. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and individual official capacity. (Check or	title, if any) se or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
	fut son the Attack and avoiding
intermention allowing potitions	all plaintiff to be affacilled
7) Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. assert jurisdiction under different or additional	§ 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you wish to al statutes, list them below.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

B. NATURE OF THE CASE

1) Briefly state the background of your case.

on FERRIARY 27, 2009 PAINTIFF MONTENEOUE Knox AND inmate carl Joshua exchanged a few Bod words. as a Result monte was moved into Paintiffs Room miracillously on March 14th, 2009. after a Lenthly discussion and acknowled must offe the sort inmate and Paintiff agreed to sothe that differences of biver ity throughout March 14-28 Paintiff received and ignored multiple threats made by whate Joshuas eaus, on march 28th 2009 Joshua was moved from Paintiffs call duate one of his numerous "investigations" over the last couple months, satting "up intended "After coming from the whole in mate was placed back in early and paintiff was placed back in early remarked as placed back in early remarked as placed back in early remarked as placed back in early remarked by Assistiff was notified by Assistation pace that he was being recorded he agm. @ approximately is a Paintiff was alterted by come

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT I

The following civil right has been violated: <u>Elght Amendment violation</u>

Prohibiting Cruel and unusual punishment imposing duty to

Provide Reasonable Protection.

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].

PLAINTIFF INCORPORATE BY reference the allegations at with in this compaint which he siled september 25, 2009, on march 3/5/ 2009, Clo Cheon and other stack was Noticied of the HREAT RECEIVED by mambers of immate Joshua's Entourage, AT which time Ested Protective custody. ON April 1th 2009. The EN AND lead CASE WORKER ZAGO ATTINITIONS ALSO MADO AWARD OF SOIRIT OF OPPRESSIVE MALICE OF WENTONNESS and/OR the totally acted as part of a conspiracy to violat MED by 22 937816 Montal distress and pain EDULOTING due to deliberate indiference. Decendant BACA warden award plantific a duty of Reasonable care, by parmitting plaintiff receiped to be Removed from the ward to avoid OUT OF LOWERS BACA BROACHOO this duty by PALLERIUS Maintill to be Translar and stati ere, this bread possited to ununssessary of also caused constructive damage to lacte! to the hole and treatment by starr a the whole so untailly that Plaintill has to write this complaint with a place of load lead. ilucked up and got this enuslope to esoid a fant had do

COUNT II

The following civil right has been violated: FIRST AMENDMENT VIOLATION

Device of access to legal library, interference with mail, lita
gation, provention of Access to experies.

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].

value dixuculties with the tain stall has conspillacy custody 42Rd there those outs pro liter, all case and regulant and obtampertur with delivered mail to other inmotes. MATT TILLOY, LAW L VIECK INTERCHANCE OF MAIL AND GONIAL OF LEGAL EXPOSION WH of so ever Paint I also asserts depict of Religious dom. deliberate individual to any individual mc warren a lemale 1Neppropriete THOM HOMO, PLAINTING COLL received mail leasons, and devial AND GISCHMINSTON TOWARDS PLZINTING

COUNT III

The following civil right has been violated: FOURTEEN AMENDMENT VIOLATION UNDER the state and FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUARANTOES, EQUAL ORDITECTION OF the Names.

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].

Maintiff incorporates by reference allegations and to this this complaint that over since reconstruction of my religious addressands and roundation in bean mode that went of the stole, all conceived in the spirit or misching or criminal indifference, out mail and the early found him is the early plaintiff is mouth for a fight and the east tomp on him is the early plaintiff was intitled to have evered present (also, how withouser)) anthough the plantic of the hard and subjected than to the hatch immate of color of indifference and subjected than to the hatch es of the atment i.c. (spitting in the food) anything that II upsed as hour took known for gotting trumped, prohibiting deptembly to must be been and experienced to a construct that he was sold up with numerous callies in conspiracy to get my addition of this but he was not reserve and attack my family, we proof is obtained yet to proof this but as a passible i will find out, plaintiff reserve and attack my family no proof is obtained yet to proof this but as course as possible i will find out, plaintiff received this but as course as possible i will find out, plaintiff received this but as course as possible i will find out, plaintiff received the particles and attack my threater course will be readed.

D. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

1)	as in	Have you filed other actions in state or federal courts involving the same or similar facts as involved in this action? Yes No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit (If more than one, describe the others on an additional page following the below outline).		
	a)	Defendants: MA		
	b)	Name of court and docket number:		
	c)	Disposition (for example, was the case dismissed, appealed or is it still pending?):		
	d)	Issues raised:		
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:		
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:		
2)	three	e you filed an action in federal court that was dismissed because it was determined to rivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? Yes \(\frac{1}{2} \) No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you have had more than a actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional following the below outline). Suit #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:		
	a)	Defendants: NA		
	<i>а)</i> b)	Name of court and case number:		
	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted		
	d)	Issues raised:		
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:		
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:		

Lawsuit #2 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:

	a)	Defendants: N/A
	b)	Name of court and case number:
	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.
	d)	Issues raised:
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:
	Laws	suit #3 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:
	a)	Defendants: U/A
	b)	Name of court and case number:
	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous
		malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.
	d)	Issues raised:
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:
3)	prop proc relie state boar If yo	e you attempted to resolve the dispute stated in this action by seeking relief from the er administrative officials, e.g., have you exhausted available administrative grievance edures?YesV_No. If your answer is "No", did you not attempt administrative f because the dispute involved the validity of a: (1) disciplinary hearing; (2) or federal court decision; (3) state or federal law or regulation; (4) parole d decision; or (5) other our answer is "Yes", provide the following information. Grievance Number on and institution where grievance was filed onse to grievance:

	
E. REQUEST FOR I	RELIEF
I believe that I am entitled to the following relie:	
LARONDANT GOOKS COMPONED TOTAL	ed damages whitius
dampores, because of the condu	or of the decondence in
Pinal of citizen 24 10 6 82 0	
	, , ,
	in ac applification this has
book doind on tox sonery nous	· //
that this will not 60 un racong.	nized. Further more undon
the new diversity, in sealing 50,	,000 in the minimum
I understand that a false statement or answer to a me to penalties of perjury. I DECLARE UNDER PELAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO CORRECT. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and 18 U.S.C. § 10	NALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE HAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND
	Monte marie Dans
(Name of person who prepared or helped prepare this complaint if not Plaintiff)	(Signature of Plaintiff)
•	(Date)
_ COUNT IV	(Date)
(Additional space if needed; identify	what is being continued)
FOURTH AMENDMENT VIOLATION OF the st	ato and Feberal constitution
audiantoes of Earl protection of the 1	
would rearched plaintiff coll on	
of personal Hems, and per sonally s	tated that that was socking
revouge, because of a jight beti	