| Sparks City Attorney THOMAS F. RILEY, #998 Senior Assistant City Attorney 431 Prater Way Sparks, NV 89431 (775) 353-2324 Attorneys for Defendants City of Sparks, Andy Flock IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, Case No. 3:09- | ii. | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| THOMAS F. RILEY, #998 Senior Assistant City Attorney 431 Prater Way Sparks, NV 89431 (775) 353-2324 Attorneys for Defendants City of Sparks, Andy Flock IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, vs. ORDER CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County and County for the Standards of Bell Atlantic Co | | | | APRIL 3 | | |
| 3 431 Prater Way Sparks, NV 89431 (775) 353-2324 Attorneys for Defendants City of Sparks, Andy Flock FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Court in State and State in State and State in State | TH | THOMAS F. RILEY, #998 | | | | |
| Attorneys for Defendants City of Sparks, Andy Flock IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Reli | 431 | 31 Prater Way | | | | • |
| City of Šparks, Andy Flock IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dis (#9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for Relief of the Ame dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County State of April, 2010. UNITED STATE UNITED STATE UNITED STATE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUNTY FOR THE DISTRICT COUNTY MOTION MOTION MOTION MOTION ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to District of the Amended dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the State of the Amended State of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the State of the Amended State of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the State of the Amended State of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the State of the Amended State o | (775 | 775) 353-2324 | | | | |
| STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, VS. CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of | | | | | | |
| STEPHAN HAASE, Plaintiff, vs. ORDER CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the support h | | IN THE UNITED STATES | DISTRICT | COURT | | |
| Plaintiff, VS. CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the Amended Complaint to assert for the Amended Complaint to assert for the Amended Complaint to Section 12. DATED this Ask day of April, 2010. UNITED STATES | | FOR THE DISTRICT | OF NEVA | DA | | |
| Plaintiff, vs. ORDER CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the property of the county of the | гг | STEPHAN HAASE | | | | |
| CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to State and Asheroft v. Igbal,U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Add and DATED this Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Asheroft v. Igbal, U.S | 51 | , | Case No. | 3:09-cv-00636-R | CJ-RAM | |
| CITY OF SPARKS, a municipal corporation, ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic County of the Standard Standa | | | OP | DER GRANTIN | ıc | |
| ANDY FLOCK, an individual, Defendants. THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Di (#9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for Relief of the Amed dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Co 544, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, | C | | | | | |
| THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Di (#9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for Relief of the Ame dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Co 544, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 S DATED this Aday of April, 2010. UNITED STAT | | | MO | TION TO DISM | 133 | |
| THIS MATTER having come on regularly before the Court on A Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Countries and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Amended Complaint to STATED this Aday of April, 2010. | | Defendants. | | | | |
| Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (#9), and the Court had documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Countries and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 State and DATED this Aday of April, 2010. UNITED STATE | <u> </u> | / | | | | |
| documents and having heard the arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Di (#9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for Relief of the Ame dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Co 544, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 S DATED this All day of April, 2010. UNITED STA | | THIS MATTER having come on regularly before | ore the Cour | t on April 16, 201 | 0 for hearin | g of |
| IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion to Dia (#9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for Relief of the Ame dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaints 544, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 S DATED this Aday of April, 2010. UNITED STAN | Def | Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (# | 9), and the C | ourt having reviev | ved the pertir | nent |
| (#9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for Relief of the American dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaints and Ashcroft v. Iqbal,U.S, 129 September 27 DATED this Ashcroft v. Iqbal,U.S, 129 September 27 UNITED STA | doc | ocuments and having heard the arguments of counsel | l, | | | |
| dismissed for failure to state a claim. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to State a claim. 122 date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to State a claim. 123 date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to State a claim. 124 date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to State a claim. 125 DATED this Albert day of April, 2010. | | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defenda | ants' Motior | to Dismiss Ame | nded Compl | aint |
| IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is hereby granted a date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to assert for support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of Bell Atlantic Complaint to support his Fifth Claim for Relief unde | (#9) | #9) is hereby granted, and that the Fifth Claim for | Relief of th | e Amended Com | plaint is her | reby |
| date of this Order in which to amend his Amended Complaint to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to assert for the support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Complaint</i> to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of the support his Fifth Cl | disn | ismissed for failure to state a claim. | | | | |
| to support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standards of <i>Bell Atlantic Claim</i> 544, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and <i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> ,U.S, 129 S. DATED this Ashcroft v. Iqbal,U.S, 129 S. UNITED STA | | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is | s hereby gra | nted a period of 1 | 4 days from | the |
| 544, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 S DATED this Ashcroft v. Iqbal, U.S, 129 S 26 27 UNITED STA | date | ate of this Order in which to amend his Amended Co | mplaint to a | ssert factual alleg | ations neces | sary |
| DATED this <u>Ast</u> day of April, 2010. UNITED STATE | to s | o support his Fifth Claim for Relief under the standard | s of <i>Bell Atla</i> | antic Corp., v. Tw | ombly, 550 l | U.S. |
| 26 27 UNITED STAT | 544 | 44, 127 S.Ct.1955 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, | _ U.S, | 129 S.Ct.1937 (2 | 009). | |
| UNITED STAT | | DATED this <u>Ast</u> day of April, 2010. | \sim | \wedge | | |
| UNITED STAT | | | | (and | : | |
| 28 | | | INITED | STATES DISTR | ICT JUDG | |
| · II | | | UNITED | | aci jobo | |