1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 0 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK, 3:09-CV-00643-RCJ-(VPC) 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER 11 V. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 Defendants. 15 The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., 16 The Court stays all proceedings in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and the will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for triats. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. 12 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "lag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted MERS' requests,			
2 3 4 5 6 17 17 18 19 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 112 113 114 115 115 116 117 118 119 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>			
3 4 5 6 17 18 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 10 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 1111 1111 112 113 114 115 116 117 111	1		
4 5 6 11 7 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 114 115 115 116	2		
S UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 0 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK, 3:09-CV-00643-RCJ-(VPC) 0 Plaintiffs, ORDER 11 V. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., Order 12 COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., Order ORDER 13 Defendants. Order Order 14 Order Order Order 15 The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. 26 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	3		
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK, 3:09-CV-00643-RCJ-(VPC) 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER 11 v. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., Defendants. 13 Defendants.	4		
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK, 3:09-CV-00643-RCJ-(VPC) 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER 11 v. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., 13 Defendants.	5		
 THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK, Plaintiffs, V. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., Defendants. The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 	6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
 THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK, 3:09-CV-00643-RCJ-(VPC) Plaintiffs, ORDER COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., Defendants. The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 	7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10 Plaintiffs, ORDER 11 V. COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., Defendants. 13 Defendants. Image: Count stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., 19 ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. 26 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	8		
11 v. 12 COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 Image: Count stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., 19 ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. 26 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	9	THORNE HUCK and YVONNE HUCK,) 3:09-CV-00643-RCJ-(VPC)
12 COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 Defendants. 15 The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., 19 ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. 26 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	10	Plaintiffs,) ORDER
13 al., 14 Defendants. 15 The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., 16 ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. 26 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	11		
14 Defendants. 15 The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last 16 The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last 17 year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated 18 numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., 19 ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel 20 assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside 21 over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. In re Mortgage 22 Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its 23 decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, 24 but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the 25 Ist of consolidated cases. 26 Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the 27 multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	12		
The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i> , MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted	13	Defendants.	
The Court stays all proceedings in this case for the following reason. At the end of last year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i> , MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted			}
 year, the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, (the "Panel"), consolidated numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i>, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 		The Occurt stars all are positing in this	acco for the following reason. At the end of last
 numerous cases in which plaintiffs allege that Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i>, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
 ("MERS"), engaged in improper business practices when processing home loans. The Panel assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i>, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
 assigned Judge Teilborg in the District of Arizona to oversee these cases, and he will preside over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i>, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
 over all issues (discovery, dispositive motions, settlement) except for trials. <i>In re Mortgage</i> <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i>, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 	Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. et al	Dec 22	
 <i>Electronic Registration Systems (MERS) Litigation</i>, MDL No. 2119 (Dec. 7, 2009). In its decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
 decision to create this multi-district litigation, the Panel consolidated nine cases from Nevada, but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
 but noted that additional "tag-along" cases with similar factual issues could be added to the list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
 list of consolidated cases. Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted 			
Following the Panel's decision, MERS moved to add numerous "tag-along" cases to the multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted			
multi-district litigation, one of which is the case currently before the Court. The Panel granted			
1	28	MERS' requests, but only as to those individual claims that "relate to the formation and/or	
			1

Huck et al v. Countrywide Hom

Dockets.Justia.com

operation of MERS." The Panel further indicated that "all claims in these actions that are
 unrelated to the formation and/or operation of the MERS system are separately and
 simultaneously remanded" to the district court in which they were first brought.

In light of the Panel's decision, MERS and the many individual plaintiffs in these cases have filed motions with Judge Teilborg in which they dispute which claims should be part of the multi-district litigation and which should be sent back to their original locations. Judge Teilborg will be deciding this issue once the matter is fully briefed. Because of the high volume of cases involved in these motions, it will be a number of months until Judge Teilborg has ruled on all of the issues affecting this case. Accordingly, the Court hereby stays all proceedings in this case. The Court will address the motions in this case in the event that Judge Teilborg remands claims back to this Court.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that all proceedings in this case are STAYED pending Judge Teilborg's order to remand back to this Court.

DATED: This ______ day of April, 2010.

CONCLUSION

Robert C. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE