Wiideman v. McDa	aniel, et al II	Doc. 16
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTR	ICT OF NEVADA
8		
9	RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,)
10	Plaintiff,)) 3: 09-cv-00704-LRH-VPC
11	VS.)
12	E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,	ORDER
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	On September 27, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for voluntary dismissal of this action.	
16	(Docket #11.) In his motion, plaintiff stated that he had raised his claims in 3:09-cv-0650, another	
17	action he had pending before this court. <i>Id.</i> On October 8, 2010, this court granted plaintiff's	
18	motion and entered judgment in this case. (Docket #13.) On October 18, 2010, plaintiff filed a	
19	motion to re-instate his complaint. (Docket #14.) Plaintiff provides no explanation or basis for his	
20	motion other than the assertion that his earlier motion to voluntarily dismiss this action was made in	
21	error. <i>Id</i> . The court finds this to be an insufficient basis to reverse its judgment. The court notes	
22	that 3:09-cv-650 was dismissed for failure to state a claim on September 23, 2010. Plaintiff may not	
23	now attempt to relitigate claims raised in that action.	
24	Accordingly, plaintiff's motion is HEREBY DENIED . (Docket #14.)	
25	DATED this 30th day of November,	2010.
26		Elsihi
27		
28		LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
		OMILD STATES DISTRICT JUDGE