FILED ✓ ____ RECEIVED
____ ENTERED ____ SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD

JAN 14 2011

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY: _____ DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN, Plaintiff, vs. HOWARD SKOLNIK, Defendant.	3:10-cv-0152-RCJ-RAM

Plaintiff Randal Wiideman filed a complaint in Nevada State Court alleging violations of his constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 which was dismissed for failure to state a claim (docket #12), pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff then moved to vacate the order (docket #15) which was denied (docket #17). Plaintiff continues in his efforts to persuade the Court to reconsider its decision in that he has filed a second motion to vacate (docket #18). Those efforts are not persuasive for the reasons previously stated and the motion shall be denied.

Wiideman v. Skolnik Doc. 19

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Motion to Vacate Orders (docket #18) is **DENIED.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall not file any other documents in this action save a properly submitted Notice of Appeal, which the Court would consider to be taken in bad faith.

DATED: January 14, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com