1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * * *	
9	CHRISTOPHER A. JONES, )	
10	Plaintiff, ) 3:10-cv-00162-LRH-VPC	
11	$ \begin{array}{c} v. \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ R \\ D \\ E \\ R \end{array} $	
12	HOWARD SKOLNIK, <i>et al.</i> ,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Before this Court is Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Order #150 Pursuant to FRCP 72(a)	
16	$(#152^{1}).$	
17	Also before the Court are Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (#151) in which to object	
18	to the Magistrate Judge's order and Defendants' Request for Submission of Plaintiff's Motion for	
19	Enlargement of Time (CD #151), and Defendant's Notice of Non-Opposition Thereto, (CD #153)	
20	(#155).	
21	The Court has conducted its <i>de novo</i> review in this case, has fully considered the objections of	
22	the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant	
23	to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate	
24	Judge's Order (#150) entered on August 10, 2012 should be sustained.	
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> Refers to court's docket number.	

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Order #150 Pursuant
2	to FRCP 72(a) (#152) is OVERRULED and the Magistrate Judge's Order (#150) is SUSTAINED.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (#151) in which
4	to object to the Magistrate Judge's order is GRANTED nunc pro tunc.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Request for Submission of Plaintiff's Motion for
6	Enlargement of Time (CD #151), and Defendant's Notice of Non-Opposition Thereto, (CD #153)
7	(#155) is DENIED as moot.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 29th day of March 2013
9	DATED this 29th day of March, 2013.
10	LARRY R. HICKS
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	2