

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,)	3:10-cv-0342-RCJ (RAM)
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
vs.)	February 10, 2011
)	
RENEE BAKER, individually and)	
officially,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE ROBERT A. McQUAID, JR., U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: JENNIFER COTTER REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Defendant has made a Motion for More Definite Statement (Doc. #20). Plaintiff has opposed the Motion (Doc. #21) and supplemented his Opposition (Doc. #22). There has been no reply.

In her Motion, Defendant states that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is not ambiguous but Defendant is apparently confused by Plaintiff's Notice on Amended Complaint (Doc. #19).

Plaintiff's Judicial Notice on Amended Complaint (Doc. #19) is not part of the First Amended Complaint, does not have the effect of a Complaint, and requires no response by the Defendant.

Defendant's Motion for More Definite Statement (Doc. #20) is **DENIED** and Defendant shall respond to the First Amended Civil Rights Complaint (Doc. #18) within fifteen (15) days of the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk