



1 LRH-RAM” (docket #7), which is yet another action setting forth common questions of law or fact.<sup>1</sup>  
2 In all of these actions, plaintiff sets forth various claims that mail room personnel have refused to deliver  
3 incoming mail to plaintiff, process outgoing mail by plaintiff and otherwise interfere with plaintiff’s  
4 mail. In the interest of conserving the court’s and the parties’ resources, these related claims must all  
5 be brought in the same action.

6 Accordingly, this action is dismissed. Plaintiff may, if he chooses, seek to amend his  
7 complaint in *Wiideman v. McDaniel, et al.*, 3:09-cv-00650-LRH-VPC to include all of his claims related  
8 to this alleged mail room dispute. Plaintiff is cautioned that any further separate actions he attempts to  
9 file alleging any related claims will be dismissed.

10 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall detach and **FILE** the  
11 complaint (docket #1-2).

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

13 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff’s motion to join case (docket #7) is  
14 **DENIED**.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court **shall file** a copy of this order in  
16 WIIDEMAN V. MCDANIEL, ET AL., 3:09-cv-00650-LRH-VPC.

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and  
18 close this case.

19 DATED this 7th day of September, 2010.

20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23  
24  
25  
26 <sup>1</sup>Confusingly, in *Wiideman v. Homan, et al.*, in 3:10-cv-00496 (“*Homan IP*”), plaintiff indicates that he will seek to consolidate that matter with *Wiideman v. McDaniel, et al.*, 3:09-cv-00650-LRH-VPC. (See *Homan II*, 3:10-cv-00496-LRH-RAM, docket #1-2).