


1 A motion to seal documents that are part of the judicial record, or filed in connection with a
2 dispositive motion, as they are here, must meet the “compelling reasons” standard outlined in
3 *Kamakana*. Thus, a party seeking to seal judicial records must show that “compelling reasons supported
4 by specific factual findings . . . outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring
5 disclosure.” *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178-79. The trial court must weigh relevant factors including “the
6 public interest in understanding the judicial process and whether disclosure of the material could result
7 in improper use of the material for scandalous or libelous purposes or infringement upon trade secrets.”
8 *Pintos v. Pacific Creditors Ass’n*, 605 F.3d 665, 679 n.6 (9th Cir. 2010) (internal quotations and citation
9 omitted). While the decision to grant or deny a motion to seal is within the trial court’s discretion, the
10 trial court must articulate its reasoning in deciding a motion to seal. *Pintos*, 605 F.3d at 679.

11 The court recognizes that the need to protect medical privacy has qualified as a “compelling
12 reason,” for sealing records in connection with a dispositive motion. *See, e.g., San Ramon Reg’l Med.*
13 *Ctr., Inc. v. Principal Life Ins. Co.*, No. C 10–02258 SBA, 2011 WL 89931, at *1 n.1 (N.D. Cal.
14 Jan. 10, 2011); *Abbey v. Hawaii Employers Mut. Ins. Co.*, Civil No. 09–000545 SOM/BMK, 2010
15 WL4715793, at *1-2 (D. Haw. Nov. 15, 2010); *Wilkins v. Ahern*, No. C 08–1084 MMC (PR), 2010
16 WL3755654, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2010).

17 Here, Exhibits C, E and G contain Alexander’s sensitive health information, including his dental
18 records, medical kites, physician’s orders, medication logs and progress notes. Balancing the need for
19 the public’s access to information about Alexander’s medical history, treatment, and condition against
20 the need to maintain the confidentiality of Alexander’s medical records, weighs in favor of sealing these
21 Exhibits. Accordingly, Defendants Motion for Leave to File Exhibits Under Seal in Support of
22 Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. # 57) is **GRANTED**.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 DATED: November 8, 2012.

25 
26 _____
27 WILLIAM G. COBB
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE