1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 RICHARD A. TRUESDELL, 10 Plaintiff, 3:10-CV-00453-LRH-VPC 11 **ORDER** 12 WILLIAM DONAT, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Before the court is Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to Grant Motion to Disqualify (#22), and Defendants' response (#23). Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of the 16 magistrate judge's Order (#20) granting defendant Adam Watson's motion to disqualify Plaintiff's 17 18 counsel, Jeffrey A. Dickerson, based on Mr. Dickerson's prior representation of Watson. 19 Contrary to Plaintiffs' mislabeling of the magistrate judge's order as a "recommendation," 20 it is a final determination of a pretrial matter pursuant to the magistrate judge's authority under 28 21 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and Local Rule IB 1-3. Accordingly, a district judge may reconsider the 22 magistrate judge's order only if it is "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." 28 U.S.C. 23 § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); LR IB 3-1(a). 24 Having considered the parties' briefing, the court finds that the magistrate judge's 25 determinations are neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. Indeed, even if a de novo 26

Truesdell v. Donat et al

Doc. 24

1	standard of review applied, the court would adopt the magistrate judge's factual findings and legal
2	conclusions as its own.
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objection to Magistrate Judge's
4	Recommendation to Grant Motion to Disqualify (#22) is DENIED.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED this 5th day of November, 2010.
7	Eldihe
8	Owwood
9	LARRY R. HICKS
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	