| 1      |  |
|--------|--|
| 1      |  |
| 2<br>3 |  |
| 4      |  |
| 5      |  |
| 6      | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 7      | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |
| 8      | * * *  |
| 9      | )<br>MANUEL QUIROZ, JR., )   |
| 10     | )<br>Plaintiff, ) 3:10-cv-0657-LRH-RAM   |
| 11     | )<br>v. )  |
| 12     | JEFFREY A. DICKERSON., ) ORDER   |
| 13     | Defendant.   |
| 14     | )  |
| 15     | Before the court is plaintiff Manuel Quiroz, Jr.'s ("Quiroz") motion for a litigation abuse            |
| 16     | injunction. Doc. #44.1 Defendant Jeffrey A. Dickerson ("Dickerson") filed an opposition                |
| 17     | (Doc. #46) to which Quiroz replied (Doc. #48).   |
| 18     | This action arises out of defendant Jeffrey A. Dickerson's ("Dickerson") representation of             |
| 19     | Quiroz's wife, Vivian Simon, in an underlying action prosecuted in the District of Nevada. As part     |
| 20     | of the underlying litigation, Quiroz and his wife advanced Dickerson money for anticipated             |
| 21     | litigation expenses. The litigation settled and Dickerson disbursed the settlement proceeds.           |
| 22     | On June 1, 2010, Quiroz filed a complaint against Dickerson in the Northern District of                |
| 23     | California for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and fraud in |
| 24     | relation to his disbursement of the settlement proceeds and subsequent failure to compensate           |
| 25     |  |
| 26     | <sup>1</sup> Refers to the court's docket number.  |

Quiroz and his wife for the advanced litigation costs. Doc. #1. The action was transferred to the
 District of Nevada by the Northern District of California. *See* Doc. #35.

Thereafter, Quiroz filed the present motion for a litigation abuse injunction. Doc. #44.
Quiroz requests the court enter an injunction against Dickerson for litigation abuse similar to a
comparable order issued against Dickerson in *Hussein v. University and Community College System of Nevada*, 3:04-cv-0455-JCM-GWF, a separate action where Dickerson was counsel for
the plaintiff.

8 The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this manner and finds that
9 Quiroz's requested injunction is without merit. First, Quiroz cites no legal authority warranting
10 such an injunction. Second, the order issued in *Hussein* involved issues unrelated to the underlying
11 litigation regarding Dickerson's control over his vexatiously litigious client. Accordingly, the court
12 shall deny Quiroz's motion for a litigation abuse injunction.

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a litigation abuse injunction
15 (Doc. #44) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DATED this 5th day of February, 2011.

Flin

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE