

1 Dickerson's motion to transfer the case to the District of Nevada. Significantly, Magistrate Judge
2 Trumbull concluded her order with the statement that "any pending motions shall be terminated
3 without prejudice to any renewed motions to be filed in the new district." No renewed motion for
4 writ of attachment has been filed before this court and nothing was brought to this court's attention
5 to suggest that Plaintiff Quiroz may have been mistaken concerning the pendency of his original
6 motion for writ of attachment until his recent Request for Ruling (doc. #64) was filed.

7 A renewed motion for attachment will be considered by this court upon filing of same by
8 Plaintiff Quiroz. Furthermore, this court will consider such a motion based on the original
9 pleadings filed in this matter if Plaintiff Quiroz files a renewed motion simply indicating that he
10 adopts and incorporates his previous motion for writ of attachment filed on July 26, 2010 (doc.
11 #19). Defendant Dickerson shall have 14 days to respond to any such motion, and plaintiff shall
12 have 7 days in which to file a reply. The matter will then stand submitted before the court. A
13 hearing may or may not be held upon such motion as may be further ordered by this court.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED this 24th day of March, 2011.



17 LARRY R. HICKS
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26