

1 Doc. #1, Exhibit A. In response, defendants filed a series of motions to dismiss (Doc. ##9, 19, 38)
2 and OneWest filed a motion to expunge lis pendens (Doc. #35).

3 On May 11, 2011, the court granted in-part and denied in-part the various motions to
4 dismiss and denied OneWest's motion to expunge the lis pendens holding that "[b]ecause the court
5 finds that Chandler has sufficiently stated a cause of action against defendant [NdeX West, LLC]
6 for failure to comply with the recording requirements of NRS 107.080, the court cannot grant
7 defendant OneWest's motion to expunge the recorded lis pendens." Doc. #56. Thereafter, OneWest
8 filed the present motion for reconsideration. Doc. #57.

9 **II. Discussion**

10 OneWest brings its motion to alter or amend judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e).
11 Rule 59(e) provides that a district court may reconsider a prior order where the court is presented
12 with newly discovered evidence, an intervening change of controlling law, manifest injustice, or
13 where the prior order was clearly erroneous. FED. R. CIV. P. 59(e); *see also United States v. Cuddy*,
14 147 F.3d 1111, 1114 (9th Cir. 1998); *School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County v. AcandS, Inc.*, 5
15 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).

16 In its motion, OneWest argues that the court erred in denying its motion to expunge the lis
17 pendens because there is no longer any basis to support Chandler's recordation of a lis pendens in
18 this action. *See* Doc. #57.

19 The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this matter and agrees. A lis
20 pendens may be maintained against a property if the relief that a plaintiff may receive if she
21 prevails in her claim will affect the title or possession of the underlying property. NRS § 14.015(3).
22 Here, the only remaining cause of action is against defendant NdeX West, LLC for alleged
23 violations of the recording statute, NRS § 107.080. *See* Doc. #56. The remedy for a violation of the
24 recording statute when, as here, there has not been a sale of the underlying property is a fine and a
25 requirement that a new notice of default be recorded before a trustee's sale may be undertaken. *See*

1 NRS § 107.080 *et seq.* Thus, the title and possession of the underlying property are unaffected by
2 any relief Chandler obtains on this claim. Therefore, the court finds that there is no basis to
3 maintain a lis pendens in light of the sole remaining cause of action. Accordingly, the court shall
4 grant OneWest's motion for reconsideration.

5
6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motion for reconsideration (Doc. #57) is
7 GRANTED. Defendant OneWest Bank F.S.B. shall have up to ten (10) days from entry of this
8 order to prepare and file an appropriate order expunging the lis pendens and submit the same for
9 signature.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED this 15th day of August, 2011.



12
13 _____
14 LARRY R. HICKS
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26