Jackson v. Doe	et al I		С
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8		* * *	
9	ALFONSO JACKSON,)	
10	Plaintiff,) 3:10-CV-00771-LRH-WGC	
11	v.)) ORDER	
12	JOHN DOE, et al.,) <u>OKDEK</u>)	
13	Defendants.)	
14		/	
15	Before the Court is Defendants Justin Chenault, Charles Kirchen, Reuben Lajda, Jason		
16	Marshall, and Tom Stubbs' (collectively "Defendants") Motion for Alfonso Jackson ("Jackson") to		0
17	Wear Restraints at Trial. Doc. #151.1 Jackson filed an Opposition (Doc. #155), to which		
18	Defendants replied (Doc. #156).		
19	The Court accepts Defendants' representations as to the legitimate and substantiated		
20	security concerns related to Jackson and the associated necessity of restraints during trial in this		
21	matter. Accordingly, the Court finds that it is appropriate to order Jackson to wear ankle restraints		S
22	that are attached to the floor and an electronic stun belt under his clothing so that it is not visible or		r
23	otherwise apparent to a jury. The Court further finds that this is the least restrictive means		
24	available to balance Jackson's interest in presenting his case to a jury in a fair and unbiased manner		er
25	with Defendants and the Court's interest in maintaining the security and decorum of the courtroom		1.
26			
	¹ Refers to the Court's docket numbe	er.	
		Destroy I	

Doc. 157

Dockets.Justia.com

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Jackson to Wear Restraints at Trial (Doc. #151) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jackson shall wear ankle restraints that are attached to the floor and an electronic stun belt under his clothing so that it is not visible or otherwise apparent to a jury.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 6th day of March, 2014.

Flanky R. HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE