

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ALFONSO JACKSON, |) | 3:10-cv-00771-LRH (WGC) |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | <u>ORDER</u> |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | |
| |) | |
| JOHN DOE, <i>et. al.</i> |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

Before the court is Defendants’ Motion to Strike Plaintiff’s Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. # 83.)¹ Plaintiff has opposed. (Doc. # 85.)

A Scheduling Order was entered in this case on July 28, 2011, setting a discovery deadline of October 26, 2011, and dispositive motion deadline of November 25, 2011. (Doc. # 22.) A motion filed beyond the time limits set forth in the Scheduling Order “shall be stricken, unless the Court grants an exception for good cause shown.” (*Id.* ¶ 6.)

On November 17, 2011, the court vacated the dispositive motion deadline (*see* Doc. # 46), and on December 9, 2011, extended it to January 31, 2012 (Doc. # 53).

On January 23, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Stay Summary Judgment (Doc. # 60) and Motion to Reopen Discovery (Doc. # 61).

On January 31, 2012, Defendants filed their Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. # 65.)

While Plaintiff filed his Motion to Stay Summary Judgment and Motion to Reopen

¹ Refers to court’s docket number.

1 Discovery prior to the dispositive motion deadline of January 31, 2012, the matter was not
2 heard by the court until February 13, 2012. (*See* Docs. # 70, # 72.) By that time, Defendants
3 had filed their motion for summary judgment. (Doc. # 65.) At the February 13, 2012 hearing,
4 the court ordered that Plaintiff be allowed to review his pertinent mental health records. (Doc.
5 # 72.) In addition, the court advised the parties that it would extend the deadlines regarding
6 the motion for summary judgment, but that it would not enter a stay. (*Id.*) Plaintiff was given
7 up to March 9, 2012, to respond to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, and
8 Defendants were ordered to file a reply by March 30, 2012. (*Id.*) The deadlines with respect
9 to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment were subsequently extended again on April 4,
10 2012. (*See* Doc. # 79, making Plaintiff's opposition due by April 27, 2012, and Defendants'
11 reply due by May 11, 2012.)

12 On April 23, 2012, Plaintiff timely filed his Opposition (Doc. # 80) to Defendants'
13 Motion for Summary Judgment, and filed a Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. # 81).²

14 On April 25, 2012, Defendants filed their reply brief in support of their Motion for
15 Summary Judgment (Doc. # 82) and the instant Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for
16 Summary Judgment (Doc. # 83). Defendants also filed an opposition to Plaintiff's Cross-
17 Motion for Summary Judgment on May 2, 2012. (Doc. # 84.)

18 In the instant motion, Defendants argue that Plaintiff's Cross-Motion For Summary
19 Judgment, filed on April 23, 2012, should be stricken because it was filed well after the
20 dispositive motion deadline of January 31, 2012. (Doc. # 83 at 2-3.) Additionally, Defendants
21 assert that Plaintiff has not set forth the requisite good cause showing to justify the late filing
22 of his motion. (*Id.* at 3-4.) Defendants' claim prejudice in having to oppose an untimely
23 motion. (*Id.* at 4.)

24 Defendants are correct that Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment was filed
25 after the January 31, 2012 dispositive motion filing deadline. Therefore, pursuant to the
26 Scheduling Order, the motion should be stricken in the absence of a finding of good cause. For

27
28 ²These documents are identical but were separately docketed by the Clerks' Office.

1 the reasons set forth below, the court finds good cause exists to allow the untimely filing of the
2 motion.

3 It appears that Plaintiff interpreted the court's orders extending the deadline to respond
4 to Defendants' motion (Doc. # 72 (extending the deadline for Plaintiff's response to March 9,
5 2012) and Doc. # 79 (extending the deadline for Plaintiff's response to April 27, 2012) as
6 applying to the deadline for him to file his own dispositive motion. (*See* Doc. # 85 at 3.)

7 The court can appreciate Plaintiff's confusion given his status as a pro se litigant. In
8 addition, the court acknowledges that it did not hold a hearing on Plaintiff's motion to reopen
9 discovery and stay summary judgment until after the January 31, 2012 dispositive motion
10 deadline had passed. A review of Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Summary Judgment (Doc. # 60)
11 reveals that it was not limited to opposing any eventual dispositive motion filed by Defendants.
12 In fact, when Plaintiff filed the Motion to Stay Summary Judgment on January 23, 2012,
13 Defendants had not yet filed a dispositive motion. In hindsight, Plaintiff's motion should have
14 been interpreted as requesting an extension of the dispositive motion deadline, and this topic
15 should have been addressed at the hearing on February 13, 2012.

16 While Defendants argue that they would be prejudiced by having to respond to Plaintiff's
17 motion, they were able to promptly file an opposition to Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary
18 Judgment on May 2, 2012. (*See* Doc. # 84.) Moreover, it is the court's observation that the
19 arguments asserted in Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment are largely duplicative
20 of the arguments he makes in opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, which
21 Defendants had to address in their reply brief. In fact, their opposition generally asserts the
22 same arguments that were raised in Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and their
23 reply brief. (*See* Docs. # 83, 84.) Accordingly, the court finds that Defendants were not
24 prejudiced in having to oppose Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 In conclusion, Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary
2 Judgment (Doc. # 83) is **DENIED**.

3 DATED: July 5, 2012.

4 
5 _____
6 WILLIAM G. COBB
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28