

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	3:11-CV-00055-LRH-VPC
)	
v.)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
IMMIGRATION CENTER, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Before the court is Defendants’ Receiver’s Application for Approval of Agreement between Receiver and Washington College of Law (#114¹). Plaintiff the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) has filed a non-opposition (#115).

On December 27, 2011, the court approved a Stipulated Final Judgment and Order for Permanent Injunction (“Final Order”) in this case (#108). The Final Order appointed Defendants’ Receiver, Aviva Y. Gordon, and charged the Receiver with returning documents to Defendants’ former customers. In part, the Final Order provided that “[w]ith Court approval, the Receiver may retain other professionals to perform” tasks relating to the Receiver’s duties. (Final Order #108, p. 9:21-22.)

The Receiver now asks the court’s approval to retain Washington College of Law to (1) identify and contact Defendants’ former customers, (2) return to each customer their original

¹ Refers to the court’s docket number.

1 documents as defined in the Final Order, (3) protect the customers' privacy interests with respect to
2 these documents, and (4) shred any unreturned document. (*See* Motion for Approval #108, Ex. 1, p.
3 1.) The proposed agreement between the Receiver and Washington College of Law also provides
4 that, "[b]udget and time permitting," the College of Law may place customers who request legal
5 assistance with the College's Immigrant Justice Clinic or other appropriate pro bono representation.
6 (*Id.*)

7 In its non-opposition, the FTC finds that the proposed agreement conforms to the
8 requirements of the Final Order. Having conducted a review of the agreement, the court agrees that
9 the proposed agreement is appropriately related to the Receiver's obligation to "identify and contact
10 each [of Defendants'] customer[s] and, to the extent feasible, to return original documents." (Final
11 Order #108, p. 9:6-7.)

12 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Receiver's Application for Approval of
13 Agreement between Receiver and Washington College of Law (#114) is GRANTED.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED this 30th of May, 2013.



17 LARRY R. HICKS
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26