1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

1213

14

1516

17 18

1920

2122

2324

2526

2728

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LOUIS RANDOLPH, a.k.a. CLYDE LEWIS,

Petitioner,

VS.

E. K. MCDANIEL, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:11-cv-00077-RCJ-VPC

ORDER

Petitioner has submitted a motion for extension of time (#17) and an amended petition (#21). The court grants the motion. The court has reviewed the amended petition (#21) pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and respondents will need to respond to it.

Petitioner has submitted an application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> (#19). The application is most because the court already has granted petitioner leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>. Order (#4).

Petitioner has submitted an <u>ex parte</u> motion for appointment of counsel (#20). Whenever the court determines that the interests of justice so require, counsel may be appointed to any financially eligible person who is seeking habeas corpus relief. 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). "[T]he district court must evaluate the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims <u>pro se</u> in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Weygandt v. Look</u>, 718 F.2d 952 (9th Cir. 1983). There is no constitutional right to counsel in federal habeas proceedings. McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991). The factors to consider are not

3 warranted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for extension of time (#17) is 4 GRANTED. 5 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis (#19) 7 is **DENIED** as moot. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's ex parte motion for appointment of counsel (#20) is **DENIED**. 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from the date 11 on which the petition was served to answer or otherwise respond to the petition. If respondents file 12 and serve an answer, then they shall comply with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and then petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date 13 14 on which the answer is served to file a reply. 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any exhibits filed by the parties shall be filed with a 16 separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The CM/ECF attachments 17 that are filed further shall be identified by the number or numbers (or letter or letters) of the exhibits 18 in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be 19 forwarded—for this case—to the staff attorneys in Las Vegas. 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

separate from the underlying claims, but are intrinsically enmeshed with them. Weygandt, 718 F.2d

at 954. After reviewing the amended petition, the court finds that appointment of counsel is not

1

2

26

27

28

///

///

///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon respondents or, if appearance has been entered by counsel, upon the attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the respondents or counsel for the respondents. The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge or magistrate judge that has not been filed with the clerk, and any paper received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the clerk that fails to include a certificate of service.

Dated: This 17th day of October, 2013.

ROBERT C. JONES

Chief United States District Judge