UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KELLY KOERNER,)	3:11-cv-00116-LRH-VPC
Plaintiff,))	MINUTES OF THE COURT
v.)	
JAMES GREG COX, et. al.	,)	August 14, 2012
Defendants.)	August 14, 2013
PRESENT: <u>THE HONO</u>	RABLE VALERIE P.	<u>COOKE</u> , U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK:	LISA MANN	REPORTER: <u>NONE APPEARING</u>
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTI	FF(S): <u>NONE APPEAI</u>	RING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

Before the court is defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (#85).¹ Plaintiff did not file an opposition. Defendants ask the court to strike plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (#82) on the ground that plaintiff filed this document after the time for filing dispositive motions had passed.

A federal court has inherent authority to regulate the conduct of those appearing before it and to manage the administration of its business. Spurlock v. F.B.I., 69 F.3d 1010, 1016 (9th Cir. 1995). The court notes that the deadline for filing dispositive motions in this case was December 14, 2012 (#57). However, plaintiff did not file his cross-motion for summary judgment (#82) until April 11, 2013. Although plaintiff received numerous extensions of time to file his opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment (#73), the court did not grant plaintiff an extension of time to file his own dispositive motion. Accordingly, defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment (#85) is hereby **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/ Deputy Clerk

¹ Refers to the court's docket numbers.