1	controversy exceeds \$75,000, "the removing defendant bears the burden of establishing, by a
2	preponderance of the evidence, that the amount in controversy exceeds \$[75],000." Sanchez v.
3	Monumental Life Ins. Co., 102 F.3d 398, 404 (9th Cir. 1996). Here, defendants contend that the
4	amount in controversy requirement is met because plaintiff Brian Knight has stated that his
5	damages are in excess of \$100,000 and that any settlement amount "less than six figures would be
6	insulting." See Doc. #8, Exhibit A. A plaintiff's statement of damages after the filing of the
7	complaint is relevant evidence establishing the amount in controversy. See Cohen v. Petsmart, Inc.,
8	281 F.3d 837, 840 (9th Cir. 2002). Therefore, the court finds that defendants have proffered
9	sufficient evidence establishing an amount in controversy greater than \$75,000. Accordingly, the
10	court shall accept defendants' removal of this action and exercise diversity jurisdiction over the
11	complaint.
12	
13	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' brief concerning removal (Doc. #8) is
14	GRANTED.
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16 17	DATED this 3rd day of May, 2011.
18	
19	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	