

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JOSEPH MORENO,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	3:11-cv-00179-LRH-WGC
)	
v.)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Before this Court is Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (#107¹) entered on January 4, 2013, recommending granting Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (#84) filed on June 26, 2012, and denying Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment (#91) filed on July 13, 2012. Plaintiff filed his Objection to Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (#108) on January 18, 2013. Defendants filed their Opposition to Plaintiff’s Objection to the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation Granting Summary Judgment in Favor of Defendants (#110) on January 28, 2013. Plaintiff then filed his Reply in Support of Plaintiff’s Objection to Doc 107 and to Defendants Improperly Made Opposition Pursuant to Doc 103 (#112) on February 11, 2013. Plaintiff also filed a Motion to Strike Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff’s Objection to Doc 107 (#111) on February 8, 2013. This action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

¹Refers to court’s docket number.

1 636(b)(1)(B) and LR IB 1-4 of the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the
2 District of Nevada.

3 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections and
4 reply of the Plaintiff, the response of the Defendants, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and
5 other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court
6 determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#107) entered on January 4,
7 2013, should be adopted and accepted.

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation
9 (#107) entered on January 4, 2013, is adopted and accepted, and Defendants' Motion for Summary
10 Judgment (#84) is GRANTED.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (#91) is DENIED.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants Opposition to
13 Plaintiff's Objection to Doc 107 (#111) is DENIED.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED this 23rd day of February, 2013.



16
17
18

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE