The Court agrees with Defendants that the previous Order (#24) contained clerical errors rendering it ambiguous. As was apparent $3 \parallel \text{from the analysis in the Court's Order (#24), Plaintiff was not and$ 4 will not be given leave to amend her sixth cause of action for a quiet title action and the portion of the seventh cause of action regarding 6 fraud through omission. Further, Plaintiff was given leave to amend the portion of the seventh cause of action regarding fraud in the inducement. Accordingly, the Court will submit an amended order so that the conclusions therein will match the analysis in its body.

10 Further, the Court will further clarify here that Plaintiff has $11 \parallel \text{not}$ and will be not granted leave to amend the following claims stated 12 ||in the original complaint (#1-3): (1) Debt Collection Violations; (2) 13 Violation of Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practice Act; (3) Violation of 14 Unfair Lending Practices, N.R.S. 598D.100; (5) Violation of NRS 15 107.080 et seq.; (6) Quiet Title Action; (7) Fraud Through Omission; (8) Slander of Title; (9) Abuse of Process. Plaintiff has been granted leave to amend the following claims: (4) Violation of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; and (7) Fraud in the 19 Inducement.

20

1

21 22

23

24

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants Countrywide, ReconTrust, and MERS's motion for clarification (#25) is **GRANTED**.

26

27

28

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall have fourteen (14
2	days within which to file an amended complaint.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	DATED: May 3, 2012.
8	Edward C. Keed.
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1718	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	