

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
OCT 11 2011	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JOHN WILLIAM WALLACE,  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, *et al.*  
Defendants.

3:11-CV-0278-RCJ(VPC)

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 6) ("Recommendation") entered on August 22, 2011, in which the Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court dismiss this action without prejudice.

No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed.

**I. DISCUSSION**

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Further, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), if a party makes a timely objection to the magistrate judge's recommendation, then this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the statute does not "require[] some lesser review by [this Court] when no objections are filed." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). Instead, under the statute, this Court is not required to conduct "any

<sup>1</sup> For an objection to be timely, a party must serve and file it within 10 days after being served with the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

VPC Wallace v. State of California et al

1 review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Id. at 149. Similarly, the  
2 Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's  
3 report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-  
4 Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the  
5 district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made);  
6 see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth  
7 Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to  
8 review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a  
9 magistrate judge's recommendation, then this Court may accept the recommendation without  
10 review. See e.g., Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate  
11 judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

12 In this case, there have been no objections filed to the Magistrate Judge's Report and  
13 Recommendation. Although no objection was filed, this Court has reviewed the Report and  
14 Recommendation (ECF No. 6) and accepts it. Accordingly,

15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED: This \_\_ 7th \_\_ day of October, 2011.

18  
19  
20   
21 ROBERT C. JONES  
22 Chief District Court Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28