

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MATTHEW ARTHUR FIELDS,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ROBERT LEGRAND, *et al.*,)
)
 Respondents.)
 /

3:11-cv-00341-LRH-RAM

ORDER

15 Matthew Arthur Fields, a Nevada prisoner, has submitted a petition for a writ of habeas corpus,
16 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (docket #s 1-2, 1-3).

17 Petitioner has now paid the filing fee (*see* docket #4). Therefore, the habeas petition shall be
18 filed and docketed, and it shall be served upon the respondents.

19 A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is
20 aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking
21 federal habeas relief upon that claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions). If petitioner is
22 aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify the court of that as soon as possible,
23 perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition to add the claim.

24 Petitioner has also submitted a motion for the appointment of counsel (docket #1-4). There is no
25 constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*,
26 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir.1993). The decision to appoint
27 counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir.1986), cert. denied,
28 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 838

1 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of
2 counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such limited
3 education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see also*
4 *Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir.1970). The petition in this action appears sufficiently clear
5 in presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to raise. Counsel is not justified at this time. The motion
6 is denied without prejudice.

7 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Clerk shall **FILE** and **ELECTRONICALLY**
8 **SERVE** the petition (docket #s 1-2, 1-3) on the respondents.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **forty-five (45)** days from entry of
10 this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other
11 response, respondents shall address any claims presented by petitioner in his petition as well as any
12 claims presented by petitioner in any Statement of Additional Claims. Respondents shall raise all
13 potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and
14 procedural default. **Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained.** If an answer is filed,
15 respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the
16 United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have **forty-**
17 **five (45) days** from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

18 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General
19 of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for consideration
20 by the court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the
21 date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The court may
22 disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action,
23 petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall **DETACH** and **FILE** petitioner's motion for
25 appointment of counsel (docket #1-4).

26 ///

27 ///

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (docket #1-4) is **DENIED** without prejudice.

DATED this 20th day of June, 2011.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE