1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 RITA WEISSHAAR; et al., 10 Plaintiffs, 3:11-cv-0360-LRH-WGC 11 v. **ORDER** 12 SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY, 13 Defendant. 14 15 Before the court are defendant Sierra Pacific Power Company's ("Sierra Pacific") motion to 16 dismiss (Doc. #13¹) and motion to strike request for attorney's fees (Doc. #14). 17 Also before the court is plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an amended complaint. Doc. #21. 18 I. **Facts and Procedural History** 19 This is an action brought by plaintiffs, employees of Sierra Pacific, for alleged violations of 20 the collective bargaining agreement between Sierra Pacific and its employees. On May 19, 2011, plaintiffs filed their initial complaint alleging two causes of action: (1) violation of the collective 21 22 bargaining agreement; and (2) breach of contract. Doc. #1. In response, Sierra Pacific filed the

present motion to dismiss the breach of contract claim (Doc. #13) and motion to strike plaintiffs'

request for attorney's fees (Doc. #14). Thereafter, plaintiffs filed their motion for leave to file an

23

24

25

26

¹ Relates to the court's docket number.

amended complaint. Doc. #21.

II. Discussion

A. Motion to Amend (Doc. #21)

A party may amend its pleadings after a responsive pleading has been filed by leave of court. FED. R. CIV. P. 15(a)(2). Leave of court to amend should be freely given when justice so requires and when there is no undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive on the part of the moving party. See Wright v. Incline Village General Imp. Dist., 597 F.Supp.2d 1191 (D. Nev. 2009); DCD Programs, LTD v. Leighton, 883 F.2d 183 (9th Cir. 1987).

Here, plaintiffs request leave to amend their complaint to dismiss their breach of contract claim and further flesh out the allegations relating to their violation of the collective bargaining agreement claim. *See* Doc. #21. A copy of the proposed amended complaint is attached as Exhibit A to plaintiffs' declaration in support of the motion for leave to amend accordance with LR 15-1. Doc. #22, Exhibit A.

The court finds that there is no undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive on behalf of plaintiffs in requesting leave to amend their complaint. Further, the court finds that the matter is early in litigation and that defendants would not be prejudiced by allowing amendment.

Accordingly, plaintiffs shall be granted leave to amend their complaint.

B. Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #13)

The filing of an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint in its entirety.

Accordingly, Sierra Pacific's motion to dismiss the complaint is now moot. Because the court is granting plaintiffs' motion for leave, the court shall deny the motion to dismiss without prejudice to allow Sierra Pacific an opportunity to respond to the amended complaint.

C. Motion to Strike (Doc. #14)

Sierra Pacific also seeks to strike plaintiffs' demand for attorney's fees if they are successful in this action. The court has reviewed the document and pleadings on file in this matter and finds

1	that it is too early in litigation to determine whether or not plaintiffs would be entitled to attorney's
2	fees in this action. Therefore, the court shall also deny the motion to strike without prejudice.
3	
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend (Doc. #21) is
5	GRANTED. Plaintiffs shall have ten (10) days to file the proposed amended complaint attached as
6	Exhibit A to the declaration in support of plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend (Doc. #22,
7	Exhibit A).
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to dismiss (Doc. #13) and motion to
9	strike (Doc. #14) are DENIED without prejudice.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	DATED this 19th day of December, 2011. Subtribute
12	October
13	LARRY R. HICKS
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
24 25	
ر∠	d