-RAM Hralima v. I	⊃olaha et al ∥	Do	oc. 3
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	MAIGA HRALIMA,		
10	Plaintiff,	3:11-cv-00382-LRH-RAM	
11	vs.	ORDER	
12	JEROME POLAHA,	ORDER	
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	Maiga Hralima, a prisoner at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, submitted a pro se		
16	Section 1983 Civil Rights Complaint (received May 31, 2011) (ECF No. 1-1). Plaintiff did not		
17	submit the required filing fee or an application for leave to proceed in <i>forma pauperis</i> .		
18	The matter shall be dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiff may pursue his claims in another		
19	action if he desires by submitting the proper application for <i>in forma pauperis</i> status or by paying the		
20	\$350 filing fee along with his civil rights complaint. The plaintiff is advised, however, that the		
21	claims presented in his complaint, which attack the validity of his conviction, should properly be		
22	brought in a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Heck v. Humphrey, 512		
23	U.S. 477,487 (1994). ("When a state prisoner seeks damages in a §1983 suit, the district court must		
24	consider whether a judgment in favor of the plaintiff would necessarily imply the invalidity of his		
25	conviction or sentence, if it would, the complaint must be dismissed unless the plaintiff can		
26	demonstrate that the conviction or sentence has already been invalidated.")		
27	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall send to plaintiff the proper form and		
28	instructions for an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> . The Clerk shall also return a copy of the		

civil rights complaint to plaintiff. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED without prejudice. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly. Dated this 6th day of June, 2011. Stihe LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE