

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14

15 ENVTECH, INC., a Nevada Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 TALMOR SUCHARD, an individual,  
19 SENTRO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD, a  
20 foreign company; and SENTRO  
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a Nevada  
Limited Liability Company

21 Defendants.

22

23 Plaintiff EnvTech, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "EnvTech") and Defendants Talmor Suchard  
24 ("Suchard"), and Sentro Technologies, LLC (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their  
25 undersigned counsel, stipulate as follows:26 1. EnvTech provides cleaning solutions for oil and gas refineries, specializing in  
27 HF alkylation cleaning and neutralization and other types of unit process equipment  
28 decontamination. In the course of its business, EnvTech has developed and uses proprietary

1 chemical formulas, processes, and other highly confidential and/or trade secret information.  
2 EnvTech hired Suchard in or about January 2005. His responsibilities for EnvTech included  
3 visiting clients, pitching work, and overseeing EnvTech's cleaning processes.

4         2. As part of his employment with EnvTech, Suchard signed an at-will  
5 Employment Agreement and a Trade Secret and Non-Competition Agreement (the "TSNCA").  
6 The Employment Agreement generally obligated Suchard during his employment and  
7 thereafter to avoid soliciting EnvTech's employees and independent contractors, to maintain  
8 the confidentiality of EnvTech's confidential information, not to solicit EnvTech's clients,  
9 business associates, or referral sources to do business with him or to cease doing business with  
10 EnvTech, and not to provide any service or lend any aid to any of EnvTech's clients. Under  
11 the TSNCA Suchard agreed during his employment and for five years thereafter not to disclose  
12 EnvTech's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information and not to "engage or  
13 participate in any competitive activity relating to the subject matter . . . of his hiring by"  
14 EnvTech.

15         3. EnvTech believes that during his employment and after he was terminated in  
16 May 2011 up to the present, Suchard breached and is continuing to breach the Employment  
17 Agreement and TSNCA. More specifically, EnvTech asserts that during his employment with  
18 EnvTech, Suchard worked on behalf of other companies, solicited business from EnvTech's  
19 clients on behalf of himself and other companies, and used EnvTech's time, equipment,  
20 resources, and confidential and proprietary information to further his own financial interests  
21 and those of other business entities. EnvTech further asserts that, after his termination,  
22 Suchard continues to impermissibly (i) compete against EnvTech, (ii) contact its customers,  
23 and (iii) use EnvTech's confidential and proprietary information to procure customers and  
24 work on behalf of and through two competing businesses that he created - Sentro  
25 Technologies, Ltd. (an Israeli company) and Sentro Technologies, LLC (a Nevada company).  
26 Suchard is a 50% owner and director of both Sentro Technologies, Ltd. and Sentro  
27 Technologies, LLC.

28         4. On or about June 22, 2011, EnvTech filed a lawsuit against Suchard in the

1 Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County, Nevada, in Case No. CV11-01850 (the  
2 "Nevada Litigation"). The Nevada Litigation was ultimately removed to the United States  
3 District Court, District of Nevada, where it was docketed as Case No. 3:11-cv-00523-HDM-  
4 RAM. In or about August 2012, EnvTech filed a First Amended Complaint, naming Sentro  
5 Technologies, Ltd. and Sentro Technologies, LLC as additional parties to the Nevada  
6 Litigation. In the Nevada Litigation, Suchard eventually answered EnvTech's lawsuit and  
7 denied all liability. Sentro Technologies, Ltd. initially moved to quash jurisdiction, but later  
8 withdrew its motion, proclaiming intent not to respond to the Nevada Litigation in any fashion.  
9 On or about November 29, 2012, the Court entered a preliminary injunction against Suchard,  
10 precluding him from engaging in certain activities precluded by his employment agreements  
11 with EnvTech, directly or indirectly through his affiliates.

12 5. EnvTech and Defendants have agreed to resolve this matter on terms  
13 memorialized in a separate settlement agreement between the parties. Nothing in this  
14 Stipulated Judgment for Permanent Injunction shall affect the rights and obligations set forth in  
15 the parties' settlement agreement.

16 6. Without admitting liability, Suchard states that he has (directly or indirectly  
17 through his affiliates) provided and/or attempted to provide services related to  
18 decontamination, cleaning, or neutralization of HF Alkylation process equipment to third  
19 parties (including EnvTech clients and/or prospective clients). Without admitting liability,  
20 Suchard also states that he has (directly or indirectly through his affiliates) solicited entities  
21 that are current or prospective EnvTech clients, referral sources, or business affiliates to do  
22 business with Suchard (directly or with his affiliates). Without admitting liability, Suchard  
23 further states that he has (directly or indirectly through his affiliates) provided chemical  
24 cleaning services to entities that are current or prospective clients of EnvTech.

25 7. Accordingly, as part of the settlement between EnvTech and Defendants, the  
26 parties have agreed to the entry of a permanent injunction precluding Suchard and Sentro  
27 Technologies, LLC (and the individuals and entities referenced in Paragraph 8 below).  
28 Accordingly, the parties agree that Suchard (and the individuals and entities referenced in

1 Paragraph 8 below) are permanently enjoined from:

- 2 a) Using in any way, or disclosing to anyone, any of EnvTech's  
3 confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information, including but  
4 not limited to EnvTech's strategic planning information, the chemical  
5 formulas and procedures it has developed to service its customers,  
6 identities or information on its customers including attributes,  
7 preferences, and the unique processes and procedures EnvTech has  
8 developed to service its customers. (Suchard will use commercially  
9 available products to perform chemical cleaning services and will not  
10 create his own chemical cleaning blends.);
- 11 b) Sending out or transmitting any letters or other forms of  
12 communication to oil refineries stating that EnvTech's chemicals  
13 contain carcinogens and/or that EnvTech falsifies its Material Safety  
14 Data Sheets;
- 15 c) Holding themselves out to anyone as affiliated with EnvTech or using  
16 EnvTech's name, trademarks, literature or documents for any purpose  
17 whatsoever;
- 18 d) *Engaging in decontamination, cleaning, or neutralization of HF*  
19 *Alkylation process equipment of any kind;*
- 20 e) Soliciting or encouraging any person or entity with whom EnvTech  
21 has done business while Suchard was employed with EnvTech to cease  
22 doing business with EnvTech or to do any business with Defendants  
23 (or any entity or individual with whom Defendants are affiliated) with  
24 respect to decontamination, cleaning, or neutralization of HF  
25 Alkylation process equipment of any kind.

26 8. The provisions of this Stipulated Judgment for Permanent Injunction shall apply  
27 to Suchard, Sentro Technologies, LLC, Sentro Technologies, Ltd. (as Suchard is a 50% owner  
28 and Director of Sentro Technologies, Ltd., and acts in active concert with Sentro Technologies,

1 Ltd.). The Stipulated Judgment for Permanent Injunction shall also apply to Defendants'  
2 officers, agents, servants, and employees, as well as any other persons who are in active  
3 concert or participation with any of these individuals or entities.

4 9. Upon entry of the order approving this Stipulated Judgment for Permanent  
5 Injunction, the case will be dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own attorney's  
6 fees and costs.

7 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

8 Dated: 2/13, 2014.

*Dora Lane*

9  
10 ANTHONY L. HALL, ESQ., NV Bar #5977  
11 DORA V. LANE, ESQ., NV Bar #8424  
12 HOLLAND & HART, LLP  
13 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor  
14 Reno, Nevada 89511  
15 Tel: 775-327-3000; Fax.: 775-786-6179  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVTECH, INC.

14 Dated: 2/13, 2014.

*Mark A. Goodman*

15 MARK A. GOODMAN, ESQ., NV Bar #10357  
16 GOODMAN LAW CENTER  
17 348 Mill Street  
18 Reno, NV 89501  
19 Tel: (775) 473-4268; Fax: (775) 996-8787

20 Attorneys for Defendants  
21 TALMOR SUCHARD, SENTRO  
22 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

21 The Court, having reviewed the foregoing Stipulated Judgment for Permanent  
22 Injunction, all papers and pleadings on file with the Court, and the evidence presented to the  
23 Court during the November 29, 2012 hearing on EnvTech's Amended Motion for Preliminary  
24 Injunction, finds that EnvTech has suffered an irreparable injury, which cannot be redressed by  
25 remedies available at law. The Court further finds that the balance of hardships between the  
26 parties warrants the issuance of a permanent injunction in EnvTech's favor, and that the public  
27 interest would not be disserved by the entry of a permanent injunction.  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the parties' Stipulated Judgment for Permanent Injunction.

*Howard D McKibbin*  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: February 20, 2014