

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JOSEPH ANTONETTI,)	3:11-cv-00548-LRH-WGC
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER</u>
BARACK OBAMA, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	


Before the court is Plaintiff’s Objection to Decision of 1-6-14 Doc. 80 (#83¹). Also before the court is Defendants’ Response to Plaintiff’s Objections/Request for Reconsideration of [#80] Order Denying [#75] Motion for Assistance in Witness Presence (#84), and Plaintiff’s Reply to Document 84 (#88).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff’s motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge’s ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge’s Order (#80) will, therefore, be SUSTAINED and Plaintiff’s motion (#83) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12th day of February, 2014.



 LARRY R. HICKS
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Refers to this court’s docket number.