-RAM Gantt v. Wi	ldeveld et al II	Do	oc. 4
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	ANTHONY GANTT,	)	
10	Plaintiff,	) 3:11-cv-00571-LRH-RAM	
11	vs.	) ORDER	
12	KRISTINA WILDEVELD, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	This action is a <i>pro se</i> civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a state		
16	prisoner. Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> was submitted with the		
17	complaint. Plaintiff must either submit the filing fee or submit an application to proceed <i>in forma</i>		
18	pauperis when initiating an action in this Court. The Court notes that, on August 17, 2011, plaintiff		
19	submitted a letter explaining that he does not wish to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this action. (ECF		
20	No. 3). As no filing fee has been paid, this action must be dismissed. Petitioner may initiate a new		
21	action when he obtains the funds to pay the filing fee.		
22	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice to the		
23	filing of a new complaint in a new action.		
24	IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff a blank 42		
25	U.S.C. § 1983 prisoner civil rights form and one copy of instructions for the same.		
26	///		
27	///		

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff may file a new complaint with the full filing fee (or an application to proceed in forma pauperis) in a new action, but he may not file further documents in this action. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly. Dated this 25th day of August, 2011. Eldihi LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE