1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5	JACOB R. PRATT, )
6	Plaintiff,
7	v. ) 3:11-CV-00604-RCJ-WGC
8	JAMES COX, et al., $O R D E R$
9	Defendant.
10	)
11	The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate
12	(ECF #31) entered on December 19, 2012, in which the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court
13	enter an order granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF $\#19$ ) and denying Plaintiff's
14	Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF #26). The Court has considered the pleadings and
15	memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record and has made a review and
16	determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and applicable case law, and
17	good cause appearing, the court hereby
18	ADOPTS AND ACCEPTS the Report and Recommendation of the United States
19	Magistrate Judge (ECF #31).
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF #19)
21	is GRANTED.
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF
23	#26) is DENIED. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED this $25^{TH}$ day of February, 2013.
25	$\mathcal{D}$
26	ROBERT C. /ONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com