UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CHARLES MANLEY, JR.) 3:11-cv-00636-RCJ-WGC
Plaintiff,) MINUTES OF THE COURT
VS.) March 28, 2012
STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
Defendants.)) _)
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIA	M G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: JENNIFER COTT	TER REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE	APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NON	E APPEARING
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:	
Attorney General During Informal Settleme	on for Court Order for Evidence/Discovery Used by the ent" (Doc. #21). Defendants have opposed plaintiff's mitted by plaintiff and the matter is ripe for a decision.
source before the parties have conferred as re or court order. (Doc. #23 at 2.) However, the Court issued its Scheduling Order (D	equired by Rule 26(f) unless exempted or by stipulation following defendants' filing of an Answer (Doc. #24), Doc. 25) on March 27, 2012, allowing discovery to leadline for completion of discovery. The parties may
a settlement conference" would be inappropresettlement conference is confidential. On the relied on certain evidence for purposes of predoes not mean such evidence is not discovered.	quest seeking production of "documents utilized during priate. What went on, was discussed or referred to at a the other hand, because a party may have reviewed or eparing for a settlement conference (which is legitimate) able. Plaintiff (or any party) may seek production of any to any party's claim or defense or reasonably calculated nee. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).
Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. #21) is then	refore denied as moot.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK
	By:/s/ Deputy Clerk