1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	GENE ALLEN,	
10	Petitioner,	Case No. 3:11-CV-00742-ECR-(VPC)
11	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>
12	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	Petitioner has submitted an applicatio	n to proceed in forma pauperis (#8). The court finds
16	that petitioner is unable to pay the filing fee.	The court has reviewed the petition, and the court will
17	refer this action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit because the petition is	
18	successive.	
19	Petitioner alleges that he was convicted	ed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of sexual assault

20 upon a minor under the age of sixteen (16) years and lewdness with a child under the age of fourteen

21 (14) years, Case No. C177427. He challenged the validity of the same conviction in <u>Allen v.</u>

22 <u>Nevada</u>, Case No. 3:03-CV-00672-LRH-(RAM). The Court summarily dismissed that petition

23 pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts

24 because, among other reasons, petitioner presented only errors of state law which are not cognizable

25 in federal habeas corpus. Petitioner did not appeal the dismissal of that petition. Petitioner must

26 first obtain authorization from the court of appeals before this court can consider his petition. 28

27 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3).

28

1	Petitioner has submitted two motions for an evidentiary hearing (#4, #6) and a motion for the	
2	recusal of the assigned magistrate judge (#5). These motions are moot because the court is	
3	transferring and closing the action.	
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application to proceed in forma pauperis (#8) is	
5	GRANTED . Petitioner need not pay the filing fee of five dollars (\$5.00).	
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall file the petition for a writ of	
7	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motions for an evidentiary hearing (#4, #6) and the	
9	motion for recusal (#5) are DENIED as moot.	
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall add Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney	
11	General for the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents.	
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall electronically serve respondents with a	
13	copy of the petition and a copy of this order. No response by respondents is necessary.	
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Circuit Rule 22-3(a), the clerk of the court	
15	shall refer this action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.	
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall administratively close this	
17	action.	
18	DATED: January 26, 2012.	
19	Edward C. Reed.	
20	EDWARD C. REED	
21	United States District Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-2-	