3 | 4 | 5 |

1

2

7

6

9

8

10 11

12

13

1415

16 P

vs.

17

18

1920

2122

2324

2526

27

28

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

) ORDER

PATTERSON, et al., )

Defendants.

The court has considered the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (#131) filed on September 18, 2012, in which the magistrate judge recommends granting the defendants' motion to dismiss (#22) and dismissing without prejudice the two unserved defendants, Adolph Stankus and Patterson. No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed, and the time for doing so has expired.<sup>1</sup>

The court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  As of this date, plaintiff has not filed any objections despite receiving an extension of time to November 15, 2012, in which to do so.

parties and other relevant matters of record and has made a review and determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and applicable case law, and the court hereby accepts and adopts the report and recommendation of the United States

Magistrate Judge (#31).

Accordingly, the defendants' motion to dismiss Count II of plaintiff's complaint (#22) is hereby **GRANTED**, and Count II of plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. Further, the unserved defendants Adolph Stankus and Patterson are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 17th day of December, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Howard DMEKiller