

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

LINDA DOWNS, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
RIVER CITY GROUP, LLC, et al., )  
 )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

3:11-cv-00885-LRH-WGC  
**ADDENDUM TO ORDER (DOC. # 232)**  
re: Minnesota Life Insurance Company’s  
Emergency Motion to Strike Plaintiff’s  
Motion to Compel (Doc. # 226)  
and  
Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel (Doc. # 223)

On January 17, 2014, this court entered its order (Doc. # 232) denying Plaintiff Linda Downs’ Motion to Compel (Doc. # 223). The court granted the relief sought in Defendant's Minnesota Life Insurance Company's (“Minnesota Life”) Motion to Strike (Doc. # 226) but declined to strike the filing (Doc. # 223) to which the Defendant's Motion (Doc. # 226) was directed. The court also denied as moot Defendant Minnesota Life's Request for Expedited Relief. (Doc. # 227).

It has come to the court's attention that its Order (Doc. 232) did not recognize that certain of Plaintiff's causes of action against Minnesota Life were previously dismissed on stipulation and order (Doc. # 69) before Senior District Judge Larry R. Hicks granted Minnesota Life’s Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. # 207.) More specifically, when discussing whether all of Plaintiff’s causes of action had been dismissed as against Minnesota Life, this court noted that Minnesota Life represented in its Motion to Strike that “Judge Hicks’ ruling ‘disposed of *all* Downs’ claims against Minnesota Life.’ (Doc # 226 at 2; emphasis in the original).” (Order, Doc. # 232 at 8.) While Judge Hicks’ order did in fact dismiss the causes of action upon which Minnesota Life’s motion for summary judgment was predicated, this court also commented on Judge Hick’s observation that “Minnesota Life did not address or move

1 for summary judgment on three of Downs' ten causes of action: the Sixth Cause of Action for conspiracy  
2 to defraud, Eighth Cause of Action for invasion of privacy or Tenth Cause of Action for quiet title. (*Id.*,  
3 at 2, n. 2).” (Doc. # 232 at 2.)

4 Although this court failed to note the stipulated dismissal of these three causes of action (again,  
5 it would have been helpful to the court if Minnesota Life had brought this partial dismissal of certain  
6 claims in the case to the court's attention – one of some more than 200 filings which predated the subject  
7 motion), nevertheless, the prior termination of these claims has no substantive bearing on the conclusion  
8 reached by the court that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel should be denied. In view of the parties'  
9 stipulation and order dismissing those three causes of action, there can be no dispute than in fact at the  
10 time Plaintiff's Motion to Compel was filed, there were no causes of action remaining as against  
11 Minnesota Life. This conclusion would be consistent with Plaintiff not raising any dispute as to  
12 Minnesota Life's contention all causes of action have been terminated against that Defendant. (Plaintiff's  
13 Opposition to Motion to Strike, Doc. # 230).

14 In that respect, this court observed that in view of Judge Hicks' order dismissing these claims  
15 as against Wells Fargo (Doc. # 64), that “for all intents and purposes” those claims were also no longer  
16 viable as against Minnesota Life. (Doc. # 232 at 8, 9). This court concluded, therefore, that the Plaintiff's  
17 Motion to Compel (Doc. # 223) and Defendant Minnesota Life's Motion to Strike (Doc. # 226) should  
18 be evaluated from the standpoint that none of Plaintiff's causes of action against Minnesota Life remain  
19 viable. Even though, as noted above, these three causes of action as against Minnesota Life had been  
20 already dismissed, there is, therefore, no substantive change in the conclusion reached in the court's  
21 analysis and the underlying Order remains intact.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 DATED: January 21, 2014.

24   
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 WILLIAM G. COBB  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28