Cox v. Palmer et al Doc. 89

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

| STEVE MICHAEL COX, | 3:12-CV-0017-RCJ (VPC) |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Plaintiff, | MINUTES OF THE COURT |
| vs.) | February 14, 2013 |
| JACK PALMER, et al., | |
| Defendant(s).) | |
| PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VAL | <u>ERIE P. COOKE</u> , U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN | REPORTER: NONE APPEARING |
| COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE | APPEARING |
| COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NO | NE APPEARING |
| MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS: | |
| | ion for Rule 11 sanctions (#77). Defendants opposed 87). Plaintiff's motion for Rule 11 sanctions (#77) is |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| | LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK |
| | By: |
| | Deputy Clerk |