

1	public's interest in the expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3)
2	the risk of prejudice to the defendant; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits;
3	and (5) the availability of less dramatic sanctions. Id.
4	Here, these factors weigh in favor of dismissal. The need for the expeditious resolution of
5	cases on the court's docket is strong. Defendants have an interest in resolving this matter in a timely
6	manner. Further, there is a lack of prejudice to the Browns because they have shown an unwillingness to
7	continue litigating this complaint which weighs in favor of granting the motion. Additionally, although public
8	policy favors a resolution on the merits, the court finds that dismissal is warranted in light of these other
9	considerations. Therefore, the court shall grant defendants' motion to dismiss and dismiss the Browns'
10	complaint in its entirety.
11	
12	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. #11) is GRANTED.
13	Plaintiffs' complaint (Doc. #1, Exhibit 1) is DISMISSED in its entirety.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	DATED this 16th day of October, 2012.
16	Saune
17	LARRY R. HICKS
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	2