Reiger v. Nevens et al

Doc. 11

1	potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and
2	procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed,
3	respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the
4	United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have
5	forty-five (45) days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall
7	be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The hard copy
8	of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys in the Reno
9	Division of the Clerk of Court.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney
11	General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for
12	consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a
13	certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney
14	General. The Court may disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After
15	respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy
16	Attorney General assigned to the case.
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion (ECF No. 9) to respond to U.S.
18	Attorney's pleading is DENIED , as no such filing is found in the Court's docket.
19	Dated this 25th day of September, 2012.
20	Sann
21	
22	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2