1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	8		
9	9 ROBERT M. REIGER,		
10	0 Petitioner, ) 3:12-cv	-00218-LRH-VPC	
11	11 vs. ) ORDE	R	
12	12   DWIGHT NEVENS, et al.,   )		
13	3   Respondents.		
14	4		
15	This action is a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254,		
16	by a Nevada state prisoner. On September 25, 2012, the Court entered an order directing		
17	respondents to file an answer or other response to the petition. (ECF No. 11).		
18	Petitioner has filed a motion for leave to amend his habeas petition. (ECF No. 15).		
19	Regarding plaintiff's motion to amend, Rule 15 provides that a party may amend his pleading once		
20	as a matter of course within 21 days after service of a responsive pleading, or 21 days after service of		
21	a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B). There being		
22	no responsive pleading or Rule 12 motion brought in this action to date, plaintiff is entitled to file an		
23	amended petition. Plaintiff's motion to amend the petition is granted. This action shall proceed on		
24	the first amended petition (ECF No. 15-1), the memorandum of points and authorities (ECF No. 15-		
25	2), and the supplemental petition (ECF No. 15-3 & 15-4). Respondents are directed to respond to the		
26	same, as specified at the conclusion of this order.		
27	Petitioner has filed a motion for reconsideration of this C	Petitioner has filed a motion for reconsideration of this Court's order denying his motion for	
28	the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 14). This Court previously denied petitioner's motion for the		

appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 6). In his motion for reconsideration, petitioner has not identified
 any mistake, intervening change in controlling law, or other factor that would require altering the
 Court's determination that petitioner is not entitled to the appointment of counsel. Petitioner's
 motion for reconsideration is denied.

5 Petitioner has filed a motion for transportation for a court appearance in this action. (ECF
6 No. 10). At this time, there is no court hearing requiring petitioner's presence. As such, petitioner's
7 motion for transport is denied.

8 Finally, respondents have filed a motion for an extension of time to respond to the petition,
9 upon the Court's resolution of petitioner's motion to amend. (ECF No. 16). Good cause appearing,
10 respondents' motion is granted.

11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for leave to amend the petition
12 (ECF No. 15) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action SHALL PROCEED on the first amended
petition (ECF No. 15-1), the memorandum of points and authorities (ECF No. 15-2), and the
supplemental petition (ECF No. 15-3 & 15-4).

16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents' motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 17 16) is GRANTED. Respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from entry of this order within 18 which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the first amended petition (ECF No. 15-1), the 19 memorandum of points and authorities (ECF No. 15-2), and the supplemental petition (ECF No. 15-20 3 & 15-4). In their answer or other response, respondents shall address all claims presented in the 21 petition. Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, 22 including lack of exhaustion and procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be 23 entertained. If an answer is filed, respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the 24 Rules Governing Proceedings in the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an 25 answer is filed, petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date of service of the answer to 26 file a reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall
be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The hard copy

1	of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys in the Reno		
2	Division of the Clerk of Court.		
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 14) is		
4	DENIED.		
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for transportation (ECF No. 10) is		
6	DENIED.		
7	l11-1		
8	DATED this 19th day of November, 2012.		
9			
10	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27			
28			
	3		