

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MATT MOONIN; DONN YARNALL; and)
ERIK LEE,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. its)
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY)
HIGHWAY PATROL; LAS VEGAS)
METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT;)
CITY OF LAS VEGAS; CLARK COUNTY;)
DOUG GILLESPIE, Sheriff; DAVE LEWIS;)
JOHN STEWART; KEVIN TICE; THOM)
JACKSON; JIM PETERSON; WAYNE)
PROSSER; CHARLES HAYCOX; BRIAN)
SANCHEZ; HUGH SHOOK; TODD)
ELLISON; ERVIN RAAB; BEN LEONARD;)
LUIS ZAPATA; DONALD DICE; CHRIS)
PERRY, individually and in his official)
capacity; PAT GALLAGHER; GREG ZEIL;)
DALE JAEGER; MEL ENGLISH; TOM)
HIGGINS; MARK RISPOLI; MAKOR K-9;)
DOES 1-9, inclusive, AND)
CORPORATIONS 10-14,)

Defendants.)

3:12-CV-00353-LRH-VCF

ORDER

Before the court are Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages (#73¹) and State of Nevada defendants' Motions for Leave to File Excess Pages (##37, 87). Also before the court is

¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

1 State of Nevada defendants' Motion for Leave to File Sur-Reply (#99). Finally, Plaintiffs have
2 lodged objections to the Magistrate Judge's Order limiting discovery (#95), to which nearly all the
3 defendants have responded (#96), and Plaintiffs have replied (#97).

4 In light of the court's Order granting various defendants' Motions to Dismiss (#100),
5 Plaintiffs' objections to the Magistrate Judge's ruling are overruled. Plaintiffs seek discovery of
6 Fourth Amendment violations which they have no standing to remedy. The Magistrate Judge's
7 ruling therefore correctly excluded discovery of these violations. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1)
8 (limiting discovery to matters relevant to subject of suit).

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' objections to the Magistrate Judge's ruling
10 (#95) are DENIED.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages (#73)
12 and State of Nevada defendants' Motions for Leave to File Excess Pages (##37, 87) are
13 GRANTED nunc pro tunc.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that State of Nevada defendants' Motion for Leave to File
15 Sur-Reply (#99) is DENIED as moot.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 DATED this 9th of May, 2013.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE