

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KEVIN FERNANDEZ, ) 3:12-cv-401-LRH-WGC
Plaintiff, ) MINUTES OF THE COURT
vs. ) August 29, 2013
DR. CENTRIC, et al., )
Defendant. )

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is plaintiff's "Motion for Enlargement of Time to File Reply Briefs to Motions (Doc. # 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 167) (First Request)." (Doc. # 202.) This court's order (Doc. # 200) already denied Plaintiff's motions ## 161, 163 and 165. Therefore, Plaintiff's request for an extension of time to reply relative to those motions is DENIED as moot.

Plaintiff's motion for a 14 day extension to reply to Defendant's responses is GRANTED as to Doc. ## 159, 160, 164 and 167.

Plaintiff's seeks an extension as to Doc. # 162. Doc. # 162 was entitled "Motion to Compel, Motion for Sanctions, and Motion to Exceed Number of Interrogatories Nunc Pro Tunc as it Relates to Interrogatories." The clerk's office logged in Plaintiff's consolidated motion as three separate motions. Doc. # 162 is the document wherein Plaintiff seeks to exceed the number of interrogatories he may serve on the Defendants. This court's order (Doc. #200) precludes Plaintiff's ability to serve any additional discovery until Senior District Judge Hicks rules on Plaintiff's motion to amend. (Id. at 2-3.) Therefore, Plaintiff's request for an extension as it relates to Doc. # 162 is DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/ Deputy Clerk