

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KEVIN FERNANDEZ,)	3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS</u>
)	
vs.)	January 16, 2014
)	
DR. CENTRIC, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): Kevin Fernandez, In Pro Per (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): Nathan L. Hastings (Telephonically)

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Discovery Status Conference

10:02 a.m. Court convenes.

I. Preliminary Matters

A. Notice to Defendants of DSM and NCI Pages to Photocopy Pursuant to Court's Order (Doc. # 249)

Plaintiff represents he is satisfied with the documents produced by defendants concerning photocopies from the DSM and NCI. Therefore, to the extent Doc. # 249 seeks any action by the court or defendants, this matter has been resolved and no further action is necessary.

B. Motion for Leave to File Supplemental to 248 Memorandum in Response to 246 Order (Doc. # 250)

Plaintiff indicates the document produced concerning a "medical personnel roster"¹ is illegible. After brief discussion regarding the document at issue, the court finds good cause for defendants to produced a legible document. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental to 248 Memorandum in Response to 246 Order (Doc. # 250) is **GRANTED**.

¹Plaintiff clarifies that the document is a "work schedule."

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 2

II. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Doc. # 160)

Plaintiff has submitted a Memorandum (Doc. #248) which clarifies what discovery disputes remain at issue that were originally identified in plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Doc. # 160). The memorandum consists of fifteen (15) different sets of discovery requests that are at issue. The court has also reviewed and considered several documents relating to outstanding discovery disputes including: defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Things # 159 (Doc. # 192), plaintiff's Motion to Compel Supplemental Interrogatory Responses (Doc. # 226), plaintiff's Memorandum Correlating the Subjects of Discovery Disputes to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses # 160 (Doc. # 235), and defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Memorandum Correlating Discovery Disputes # 235 (Doc. # 241).

After discussion is had with regard to the discovery disputes, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (Doc. # 160) is deemed **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part** as follows:

(1) Defendant Cox's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(2) Defendant Cox's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 2 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(3) Defendant Gedney's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 3

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response, specifically as to subcategories (b), (c), and (d);

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(4) Defendant Palmer's Response to Interrogatories [Set One] (Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(5) Defendant Scott's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One] (Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response, specifically as to subcategories (b), (c), and (d);

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 4

Interrogatory No. 9 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(6) **Defendant Scott's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]**
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(7) **Defendant Scott's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Three]**
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response.

(8) **Defendant Frtiz's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]**
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(9) **Defendant Schober's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]**
(Doc. # 192-6):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 2 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 5

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(10) Defendant Walsh's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]
(Doc. # 241-1):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 2 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**, supplemental response as to Interrogatory No. 1 should supply a sufficient response to this interrogatory;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 9 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 11 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

Interrogatory No. 13 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(11) Defendant Walsh's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]
(Doc. # 241-1):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response;

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

3:12-cv-00401-LRH-WGC

Date: January 16, 2014

Page 6

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(12) Defendant Fritz's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]
(Doc. # 241-1):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement her response.

(13) Defendant Centric's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set One]
(Doc. # 247-1):

Interrogatory No. 1 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 3 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 6 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 7 - **Granted**, Defendant's objection is **overruled**; defendant is directed to supplement his response;

Interrogatory No. 8 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 9 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 10 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

(14) Defendant Centric's Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories [Set Two]
(Doc. # 247-2):

Interrogatory No. 4 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**;

Interrogatory No. 5 - **Denied**, Defendant's response is deemed **sufficient**.

