1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	STEVEN E. PARKER,) 3:12-cv-00429-HDM-VPC	
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER	
13	VS.	
14	LORAL LANGEMEIER, WILLIAM MATTOX,) MANNA PROPERTIES, LLC, and AR	
15		
16	Defendants.	
17	Before the court is the defendants' partial motion to dismiss	
18	(#28) made pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 9(b) and	
19		d
20	(#30).	a
21	In his first amended complaint filed on February 12, 2012,	
22	plaintiff Steven Parker ("plaintiff") asserts that defendants	
23	failed to pay two promissory notes upon their maturity in 2008, on	e
24	made to defendant Manna Properties ("Manna") and the other made to	
25	defendant AR Residential Restoration, Inc. ("AR"). Defendants	
26	William Mattox ("Mattox") and Loral Langemeier ("Langemeier") are	
27	alleged to be managers of Manna; Langemeier is additionally allege	Ь
28	arreged to be managers of namma, hangemeter is dualtronarry arrege	Å
	1	

1 to be the president of AR. The complaint asserts three causes of 2 action: (1) breach of contract as to the Manna note; (2) breach of 3 contract as to the AR note; and (3) fraudulent inducement. 4 Defendants move to dismiss plaintiff's claim of fraudulent 5 inducement as insufficiently pled and all claims asserted against 6 the individual defendants on the basis that they are not personally 7 liable for payment of the notes.

8 In his opposition, plaintiff has agreed to withdraw his fraudulent inducement claim as to defendant Mattox. (Pl. Opp'n 13 9 10 n.5). Accordingly, the defendants' motion to dismiss the 11 fraudulent inducement claim against Mattox is **GRANTED** and that claim is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.** In all other respects 12 13 defendants' motion to dismiss (#28) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to 14 renew as a motion for summary judgment at the close of discovery. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 24th day of April, 2013.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Howard D MEKiller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2