Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's Recommendation. Plaintiff's medical history does not reveal any documented instances of deliberate indifference on the part of Defendants. On the contrary, his medical care appears to have been adequate and timely. Although he alleges that Defendants have withheld relevant information, Judge Cobb correctly ruled that this bare assertion without support fails to raise any Eighth Amendment concerns. Similarly, Toavs has failed to demonstrate irreparable history in the absence of an injunction ruling, relying on speculation without documented evidence to support his claim that he risks losing use of his left arm in the absence of court intervention. As a result, Toavs fails to satisfy the requirements for the Court's granting of preliminary injunctive relief.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 17) be accepted and adopted in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Berton G. Toavs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (dkt. no. 3) is DENIED.

DATED THIS 16th day of May 2013.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE