| Bement v. Cox et a | ม 1 | Doc. 116 |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 4 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 5 | * * * | |
| 6 | BARON BEMENT, | Case No. 3:12-cv-00475-MMD-WGC |
| 7 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | JAMES G. COX, <i>et al.</i> , | |
| 10 | Defendants. | |
| 11 | The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has resolved Plaintiff's appeal by affirming in | |
| 12 | part, reversing in part and remanding for further proceedings. (ECF No. 113.) In particular, | |
| 13 | the court of appeals affirmed the Court's granting of summary judgment on Plaintiff's | |
| 14 | retaliation claim but reversed the granting of summary judgment on Plaintiff's disability | |
| 15 | claims. (Id. at 3-4.) The Court therefore vacates its prior order (ECF No. 105) and judgment | |
| 16 | (ECF No. 106) in part only with respect to Plaintiff's first two claims under the Rehabilitation | |
| 17 | Act for disability discrimination and failure to provide reasonable accommodation. These | |
| 18 | two claims will now proceed to trial. The parties are directed to file a proposed joint pretrial | |
| 19 | order within 30 days. | |
| 20 | DATED THIS 8 th day of April 2020. | |
| 21 | 1 | |
| 22 | MIR | ANDA M. DU |
| 23 | | EF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |