1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	LAUSTEVEION JOHNSON,	Case No. 3:12-cv-00538-MMD-WGC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING AND ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF
11		MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	A. NGUYEN, et al., Defendants.	WILLIAM G. COBB
13		1
14	Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate	
15	Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 89) ("R&R") relating to plaintiff's motion for preliminary	

injunction (dkt .no. 41). No objection to the R&R has been filed.
This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or

recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party 18 timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is 19 20 required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails 21 to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue 22 that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). 23 Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a 24 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See 25 United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard 26 of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to 27 which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 28

1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the
 view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an
 objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then
 the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F.
 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to
 which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to
determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cobb's R&R. Upon reviewing the R&R
and underlying records filed in this case, this Court finds good cause to adopt the
Magistrate Judge's R&R in full.

11 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and 12 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (dkt. no. 89) is accepted and 13 adopted in its entirety. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (dkt. no. 41) is 14 denied.

DATED THIS 7th day of January 2015.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE